



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/637,143	08/08/2003	Michael Lynn Hinds	16538-US	3412	
:	7590 04/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Jimmie R. Oaks		KOVACS, ARPAD F			
Patent Department DEERE & COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One John Deere Place			3671		
Moline, IL 61265-8098			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	N
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	8h
Office Asticus Communicati	10/637,143	HINDS, MICHAEL LYNN	· ·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Árpád Fábián Kovács	3671	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with u	ne correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	to be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	<u>ugust 2003</u> .		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		the Everniner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl ority documents have been red u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/8/2003.	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/637,143

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quick (WO 85/00268) **OR** Ruback et al (4008557) **OR** Makeham et al (3596447). Quick or Ruback or Makeham disclose:

Cutting & shredding & creating a stream of air means (Makeham: col. 2, In. 13, 29, col. 1, In 53-62; ref. 14, 18, 20, 38, 40, 42; Quick: discloses the shredding/thrashing pg. 7, In 21-22, cutters 84, 86, 122, 124, discharger/feeder 100, 106; Ruback: shredding/thrashing col. 3, In 28, ref 102, 104, 74, discharging/delivering outside col. 4, In 30-35).

As applied to claim(s) 6, in view of the structure disclosed/taught by Quick (WO 85/00268) **OR** Ruback et al (4008557) **OR** Makeham et al (3596447)., the method of operating/using the device is inherent since it is the normal and logical manner in which the device is used.

Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quick (WO 85/00268) OR Ruback et al (4008557) OR Makeham et al (3596447).
 Quick or Ruback or Makeham disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/637,143

Art Unit: 3671

In re claim 1:

At least two topper/shredder disks & blades on a center support axis (Makeham: ref 18, 20; Quick: ref 84, 86, 122, 124; Ruback: 102, 104) [also, in re cl. 2];

Air assist vane (Ruback: ref 116, 118, 119, col. 3, ln 47; Quck: ref, 136, 138, 139, pg. 8, ln 5-8; Makeham: suitable number of vanes: col. 2, ln 28-29) [also, in re cl. 3].

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 3

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Otten et al., Baker, Stiff et al., Quick (308), Rodrigue, Duncan, Gaunt et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 703-308-5897. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308 3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Árpád Fábián Kovács Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

ÁFK